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Abstract

Multi-hop relay selection is regarded as a key technology to overcome severe path loss and adapt complex environments in millimeter-wave (mmWave) and terahertz communication. Meanwhile, new hardware called reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) has emerged and is used to boost the spectral and energy efficiency of future wireless communication systems. Then, to solve the challenge that the numerous energy consumption caused by the increasing number of relays in the future industrial internet a promising architecture with a hybrid active–and-passive relay network is proposed in this paper. Then, the optimization joint system delay and energy efficiency based on the simulated annealing (SA) algorithm is considered in this paper. A hierarchical heuristic optimization algorithm with lower complexity is proposed and proved to be feasible under good communication conditions compared with other algorithms. Finally, numerical results demonstrate that the proposed hybrid relay system and joint optimization model can improve the energy efficiency of the network and reduce the system delay.
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1 Introduction

MmWave communication has raised enormous concern as a solution to the shortage of spectrum resources in the future and it is a great advantage of millimeter-wave communication to form narrow beams and reduce interference by using large-scale multi-input and multi-output (MIMO) technology. However, it comes with a set of challenges such as severe propagation loss and link blockages [1-2]. Besides, relaying is considered as one promising approach to resist blockage and improve coverage. Then, the ultra-dense relay network has been investigated spontaneously, which can solve the above problems and enhance the reliability and coverage of the communication link. Meanwhile, the ultra-dense relay network aroused a challenge that how to choose the best relay link in a complex scene. Two-hop relay selection is considered to improve the achievable rate in [3-4] and a mmWave multi-hop communications are considered to optimize the system throughput in [5-6]. However, energy efficiency is seldom considered in most literature about relay selection.

It’s worth noting that, along with the number of relays increasing rapidly, energy consumption becomes a clamatant problem. There are over 50 billion wireless capability devices anticipated to connect networks by 2020 [7] through dense deployments of numbers of access points [8-10]. Besides, a serious sustainability problem is posed for the future cellular networks, which requires 1000 times data rate compared with the current network and halve the energy consumption [11]. Moreover, although communication quality is enhanced significantly by the MIMO, mmWave, ultra-dense network (UDN) technologies, serious energy consumption problems are also introduced. Therefore, it is a challenge that how to improve system energy efficiency for achieving sustainable capacity growth in the future, while enhancing network performance. Recently, green communication has received huge interest in the research community [12-13]. Therein, the latest hardware technology emerges with the potential to significantly reduce energy consumption, which is the so-called RIS or Intelligent Reflecting Surface (IRS) [14-15].

RIS is a meta-surface equipped with integrated electronic circuits that can be programmed to alter an incoming electromagnetic field in a customizable way [16]. Moreover, owing to the passive structure, the power consumption is extremely low, and there is nearly no additional thermal noise added during reflecting. With densely deploying IRS in wireless networks and skillfully coordinating its reflection, the signal propagation or wireless channel between transmitter and receiver can be flexibly reconfigured to achieve the desired distribution or implementation, which provides a new method to fundamentally solve the problems of wireless channel fading damage and interference, and it is possible to realize the quantum leap improvement of wireless communication capacity and reliability [17]. Furthermore, light weight, low profile and low cost are part of the significant advantages of RIS, which means it can be easily installed on or removed from environment objects. Hence, it is a promising way to deploy a large number of RISs for relaying.

A great number of researches has been published recently in this area. A practical IRS phase shift model is proposed in [18], and the system performance is optimized by IRS assisted communication. In [20], deep reinforcement learning (DRL) is applied to improve the network throughput based on IRS-
assisted communication. However, RIS-aided communication is based on the case that the transmitter and receiver are LOS transmission and Non-Line-of-Sight (NLOS) transmission is considered between the transmitter and receiver in few literatures, which is a common thing in millimeter-wave communication.

In some researches on IRS-supported transmission, [21] compares the classical repeat coded DF relay with IRS and numerical results show that the IRS requires hundreds of reconfigurable elements (each size of the antenna) to be competitive, even if an ideal phase shifted and frequency flat channel is considered. Besides, in [22], the potential applications of RISs in wireless networks are discussed, which operate at high-frequency bands, e.g., millimeter wave (30-100 GHz) and sub-millimeter wave (greater than 100 GHz) frequencies. Therein, numerical results are illustrated that higher the spectral efficiency gains of RISs when their size is sufficiently large as compared with the wavelength of the radio waves. Furthermore, A RIS-based downlink multiuser multiple input single-output (MISO) system is considered in [16] and numerical results are revealed obviously that the RIS-based communication can provide up to 300% higher energy efficiency (EE) than the active relay. Moreover, it was also confirmed that system EE depends on the number of mobile users and RIS components, as well as the single power consumption of RIS components.

It should be noted that, since RIS is a passive relay, it cannot provide sufficient gain for signal transmission to be demodulated and communicated by the receiver normally. Therefore, the hybrid active-and-passive relay network is a promising solution to the above problem. [23-24] considered a hybrid system of RIS auxiliary communication and active relay, but as mentioned earlier, NLOS communication is more common in the industrial Internet scenario, which is difficult to apply RIS auxiliary communication and cannot meet the requirements of mmWave communication.

In this paper, the hybrid active-and-passive relay network is investigated. We proposed a SA-based multi-hop hybrid relay selection strategy in mmWave communication. Furthermore, a hierarchical relay selection algorithm based on the greedy strategy with lower complexity is developed. Besides, considering that the uniform planar array (UPA) is used by RIS, we adopt a three-dimensional environment. The influence of outage probability caused by the obstruction is also considered in relay selection.

A multi-hop relay selection model is developed, by which all possible number of hops are included to maximize the system performance.

Considering the diversity of industrial Internet scenarios, a joint optimization model on system delay and energy efficiency is developed, which is more in line with the needs of different scenarios.

The simulated annealing algorithm is presented to solve the complex optimization problem and hierarchical heuristic optimization is developed on the basis of greedy strategy, which has lower complexity. Finally, numerical results have been evaluated, which compare the performance of the SA-based algorithms with the exhaustive and greedy-based schemes.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II system model is introduced including the network model, signal model and the problem formulation. The SA-based and greedy-based joint optimization scheme is proposed in Section III and extensive numerically results are provided in Section IV. Finally, Section V makes a conclusion of the paper.

The notations used in this paper are listed as follows. \( \mathcal{CN}(\mu, \sigma^2) \) denotes the circularly symmetric complex Gaussian (CSCG) distribution. \( \text{diag}(x) \) denotes a diagonal matrix with each diagonal element being the corresponding element in \( x \). \( \text{arg}(x) \) denotes the principal value of the divergence angle of \( x \). \( |x| \) denotes the absolute value of a complex number \( x \). For any vector \( \omega \) (all vectors in this paper are column vectors), \( \omega^H \) denote the conjugate transpose of. \( \text{Pr}(P = 1) \) denotes that the possibility of \( P = 1 \).

2 System Model

2.1 Network Model

As shown in Figure 1, we consider a system model consists of a multi-hop hybrid AF relay and RIS network composed of source (S), relay (R), and destination (D). In addition, for a two-hop hybrid network, it is a half-duplex sub-channel based on S-R-D, and for a three-hop hybrid network, it is based on three half-duplex sub-channels S-Rx-Ry-D. The higher hops can be obtained by analogy. Besides, it is assumed that the direct link between the source and the destination is interrupted and the same random characteristics are assumed in each time slot.

A factory is considered with an area of \( s \) and a height of \( h \), where there is a source node, abundant relay nodes, a destination node, which relay nodes contain RISs and AF relays. The serviceable range \( A \) of each relay node is equal. It is assumed that each relay and RIS are consistent. The channel can be generated by the Saleh-Valenzuela (SV) channel model [25-28], which can be described as:
\[
H = \sqrt{\frac{N_t N_r}{L}} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \alpha_l a_{r,l}(\theta_{r,l}) a_{t,l}(\theta_{t,l}) \quad (1)
\]

Denote \( N_t, N_r \) as the number of antennas equipped in the transmitters and receiving terminals respectively, \( \alpha \) and \( L \) denotes gain of the path and the number of rays, which the number of clusters is not considered in the paper for simplification. \( a_{r,l}, a_{t,l} \) respectively represents the array response vector at the receiving terminals and transmitters. Besides, considering the environment is usually complex and changeable, here obstacles are assumed randomly placed in the environment and we use the outage probability to represent it. Due to the poor penetration of millimeter waves, the outage probability is set to a random number \( \epsilon_{RU} \) between each relay node and destination node, \( \epsilon_R \) between relay nodes respectively, which can be expressed as:

\[
\epsilon_{RU} = Pr(P_{out} = 1) \quad (2)
\]

\[
\epsilon_R = Pr(P_{out} = 1) \quad (3)
\]

where, \( \epsilon_{RU} = \epsilon_R + \epsilon \), and \( \epsilon \) is a constant, which reflects the complexity between each relay nodes and destination node in the scene and \( P_{out} \) represents whether the link is interrupted. If \( P_{out} = 1 \), it means that the selected link is blocked, then it will not be selected. In the factory scenario, the communication between relays is generally in LOS, while among the relay, receiver and transmitter, the communication is generally in NLOS. Therefore, the interrupt probability \( \epsilon_{RU} \) will be greater than \( \epsilon_R \) and the specific value depends on the environment.

### 2.2 Signal Model

A signal is considered as transmitted from the base station, and received by AF relay, which is given by:

\[
y_{RAF} = \sqrt{P} w_R h_{SR_AF} f_s s + n_r + n \quad (4)
\]

where \( P \) is the base station transmit power, \( w_R \) is the received beam vector at AF relay, \( h_{SR_AF} \) is the channel between the source node and AF relay, \( f_s \) is the transmitted beam vector at source node, \( s \) is the transmitted signal and \( n \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, N_0) \), \( n_r \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, N_r) \) are the background noise and receive noise at AF relay nodes.

Or received by RIS, which is given by:

\[
y_{RIS} = \sqrt{P} h_{SR_{RIS}} f_s s + n \quad (5)
\]

where \( h_{SR_{RIS}} \) is the channel between the source node and RIS, \( \Phi = \text{diag}(\eta_1 e^{j\theta_1}, ..., \eta_N e^{j\theta_N}) \), \( \theta_i \in [0, 2\pi] \) and \( n_r \) can be omitted because RIS does not introduce noise.

To simplify the analysis, it is assumed that there is ideal passive beamforming (IPB) with perfect channel estimation (PCE) at the RIS, and all elements have the same reflection amplitude. Hence, the phase and amplitude can be expressed as [19]:

\[
\theta_i = -\arg\left(h_{SR_{RIS}}[h_{RISD}]ight) \eta_i = \eta_i \forall i \quad (6)
\]

where \( h_{RISD} \) is the channel between the RIS and destination node; then, the signal transmitted by the AF relay is:

\[
y_{RAF}' = \sqrt{P} f_R y_{RAF} \quad (7)
\]

Or by the RIS, then is:

\[
y_{RIS}' = y_{RIS} \quad (8)
\]

where \( P_r \) is the transmit power of AF relay and \( f_R \) is the transmitted beam vector at AF relay.

Here, a two-hop relay communication is considered, the signal received by the target node can be expressed by:

\[
y_{D} = \sqrt{P} w_D h_{RD} h_{D} f_s s + n_r + n \quad (9)
\]

where \( H_t, H_r \) can be expressed as:

\[
H_t = \begin{cases} \sqrt{P} f_R & \text{relay is AF relay} \\ 1 & \text{relay is RIS} \end{cases} \quad (10)
\]

\[
H_r = \begin{cases} w_R h & \text{relay is AF relay} \\ h_\Phi & \text{relay is RIS} \end{cases} \quad (11)
\]

where \( w_D \) is the received beam vector at destination node, \( h_{RD} \) is the channel between the last relay in a selected relay link and destination node, \( w_R \) is the received beam vector at AF relay, \( h \) is the channel between two adjacent relays in a selected relay link.

By analogy, a multi-hop hybrid relay network model is formulated, and the signal received by the user is given by:

\[
y_D = \sqrt{P} w_D h_{RD} \prod_{l \in \mathcal{R}} (R(l) H_l H_r) f_s s + n_r + n \quad (12)
\]

where \( \mathcal{R} \) represents all the relays in scene and \( R(i) \) is the mark of relay selection, which indicate whether the relay is selected.

### 2.3 Problem Model

According above, the SNR at AF relay \( R_{AFi} \) is given by:

\[
\gamma_i = \frac{\left|\sqrt{P} w_R h_{R} \left[i_{i}^{\mathcal{R}'}(H_l H_r) f_s s\right]\right|^2}{\left|\sqrt{P} w_R h_{R} \left[i_{i}^{\mathcal{R}'}(H_l H_r) f_s s\right]\right|^2 + N_0^2} \quad (13)
\]

where \( \mathcal{R}' \) represents the relays in a selected relay link.

Or at RIS \( R_{RISi} \) is given by:

\[
\gamma_i = \frac{\left|\sqrt{P} h_{R} \Phi \left[i_{i}^{\mathcal{R}'}(H_l H_r) f_s s\right]\right|^2}{\left|\sqrt{P} h_{R} \Phi \left[i_{i}^{\mathcal{R}'}(H_l H_r) f_s s\right]\right|^2 + N_0^2} \quad (14)
\]

Then, the SNR at destination node can be expressed as:
the amplification effect is introduced at AF relay due to its unique communication system.

where \( P_{\text{all}} \) is the dissipated power at each relay transmit-receive antenna, here two sets of antennas are considered for half duplex AF relay, and \( N_{\text{AF}} \), \( N_{\text{RIS}} \) is the number of antennas for AF relay and RIS.

It should be pointed out that energy efficiency is of great significance to a factory that its improvement can not only greatly reduce the energy cost, but also better respond to the call of the green factory and green communication.

Finally, in order to achieve the maximum energy efficiency under the lowest delay, that we mainly focus on the delay introduced by relay, here an effective energy efficiency \( \eta' \) can be defined as:

\[
\eta' = \frac{C \cdot \tau_{\text{path}}}{P_{\text{all}} \cdot \tau} = \frac{C \cdot \tau_{\text{path}}}{P_{\text{all}} \cdot (\tau_{\text{path}} + \tau_{\text{relay}})}
\]

Then, \( Q \) is defined as

\[
Q = \frac{C \cdot \tau_{\text{path}}}{P_{\text{all}} \cdot \omega \cdot (\tau_{\text{path}} + \tau_{\text{relay}})}
\]

where \( \omega \) represent the weight of delay.

Therefore, the optimization goals in the system with relay group \( R \) can be expressed as:

\[
\text{Maximize } \quad Q = \frac{C \cdot \tau_{\text{path}}}{P_{\text{all}} \cdot \omega \cdot (\tau_{\text{path}} + \tau_{\text{relay}})}
\]

Subject to

C.1 \( R(i) = \{0, 1\} \quad i \in R \)
C.2 \( \gamma > \gamma_{th} \)
C.3 \( \gamma_i > \gamma_{th} \quad i \in R, R(i) = 1 \)
C.4 \( d(R_i - R_j) < \lambda \quad i, j \in R, R(i), R(j) = 1 \)
C.5 \( \sum_i R(i) < \lambda \)
C.6 \( |f_{R_{\text{AF}}}^*|^2 = 1 \)
C.7 \( |f_{R_{\text{RIS}}}^*|^2 = 1 \)

where C.1 denotes the relay state in \( R \), \( \gamma_{th} \), \( \gamma_{th_i} \) are the SNR threshold at the user and relays respectively in C.2,3, which ensures that the signal can be received normally, especially after selecting several RISs. Where \( d(R_i - R_j) \) represents the distance between \( R_i \) and \( R_j \). The max serviceable distance of relay and max hop number in a selected relay link are limited to \( A \) and \( \lambda \) in C.4.5. The power constraints of beamforming for base station and AF relay are defined in C.6,7.

3 Joint Optimization Scheme

In the factory scenario, system delay and energy efficiency are critical issues for a network that need to be considered, especially gets more complicated with the introduction of RIS. Besides, the demands for system delay and energy efficiency are not the same in different scenarios. As for a multi-hop hybrid relay system, a relay is added to the selected relay link, system performance will not necessarily be better than ever, even lower. Therefore, during the relay selection, two hops, three hops, or even five hops all are possible to gain the best effect.
On account of the relay selection problem can be simplified into a real-time path optimization problem, that is, searching for the optimal solution in a complex and changeable environment. Besides, it is obviously a non-deterministic polynomial complete problem (NP-C) and the optimal solution of this problem can be obtained only by exhaustion.

Along with the number of relays under millimeter-wave communication increasing significantly, the solution space generated will expand rapidly at the same time, and exhaustive methods can no longer meet the demands. It is assumed that all channel status information (CSI) is perfectly known, then the solution space is all possible relay links, and the goal is to maximize the value $Q$.

### 3.1 SA-based Relay Selection Scheme

The original problem can be decomposed into an optimization process in a finite solution space and SA is a heuristic algorithm with low complexity. Hence, a multi-hop hybrid relay selection scheme based on the SA algorithm is developed. As algorithm 1 shows: we initialize the solution space $S$, $T_{\min}$, $\delta$, random $v$ and obtain the value of $Q$ (24) with a relay link $R'$, which $S$ contains all possible relay links. Then based on the distance between the base station, user, and each relay in $R'$, SNR of user and each relay, and $P_{\text{out}}$ among base station, user, and each relay in $R'$ to determine whether the communication is successful with the selected $R'$ (C.2,3,4). If it fails, set the value of $Q$ to 0.

Then, the new $R'$ will be accepted if the next $Q$ is greater than old one or on the basis of Metropolis rule as algorithm 2, which only the former will lower $T$ through $T = T * \log(1 + \delta)$. Following, a new $R'$ is generated with a $v$ adjacent to the last accepted $R'$ and the value of $Q$ can be obtained with the new relay link $R'$, which then will be determined whether to accept the new $R'$. By repeatedly searching, finally, the best $R'$ can be obtained when the probability of accepting a worse $R'$ is too small and a better $R'$ cannot be found for a long time that means the maximum number of cycles is exceeded.

**Algorithm 1. SA-based relay selection**

*initialize: $S$, $T_{\min}$, $T$, $\delta$*

1: Set $v = \text{random}$, $R' = S(v)$, Get value $Q$
2: if $d(R_i, R_j) > A \ \forall i,j \in R'$ or $P_{\text{out}} = 1$ or $\gamma_i < \gamma_{\text{th}} \ \forall i \in R'$ or $\gamma < \gamma_{\text{th}}$ then
3: \quad Set $Q = 0$
4: \quad end if
5: Set $\text{counter} = 0$
6: while $T > T_{\min}$ do
7: \quad Set $v_{\text{new}} = v + \Delta v$, Get value $Q_{\text{new}}$
8: \quad if $d(R_i, R_j) > A \ \forall i,j \in R'$ or $P_{\text{out}} = 1$ or $\gamma_i < \gamma_{\text{th}} \ \forall i \in R'$ or $\gamma < \gamma_{\text{th}}$ then
9: \quad \quad Set $Q = 0$
10: \quad else
11: \quad \quad Get value $\Delta Q = Q_{\text{new}} - Q$
12: \quad \quad end if
13: \quad if $\Delta Q > 0$ then
14: \quad \quad Set $Q = Q_{\text{new}}$, $v = v_{\text{new}}$, $T = T * \log(1 + \delta)$
15: \quad \quad else
16: \quad \quad \quad if Metropolis ($\Delta Q$, $T$) then
17: \quad \quad \quad \quad Set $Q = Q_{\text{new}}$, $v = v_{\text{new}}$
18: \quad \quad \quad end if
19: \quad \quad \quad Set $\text{counter} = \text{counter} + 1$
20: \quad \quad end if
21: if $\text{counter} > \text{counter}_{\max}$ then
22: \quad Set $Q = Q_{\text{new}}$, $v = v_{\text{new}}$
23: \quad Break
24: \quad end if
25: end while

**Algorithm 2. Metropolis ($\Delta Q$, $T$)**

1: Set $Q$, $T$
2: if $e^{\frac{-\Delta Q}{T}} > \text{rand}(0,1)$ then
3: \quad Return 1
4: \quad else
5: \quad \quad Return 0
6: \quad end if

**Algorithm 3. Greedy-based relay selection**

*initialize: $R'$*

1: Set $t = 0$, $\rho(0) = 0$
2: while $t$ do
3: \quad for $R$ in $R'$ do
4: \quad \quad Add $R$ to $R'$
5: \quad \quad Get value $Q(R')$
6: \quad \quad if $d(R_i, R_j) > A \ \forall i,j \in R'$ or $P_{\text{out}} = 1$ or $\gamma_i < \gamma_{\text{th}} \ \forall i \in R'$ or $\gamma < \gamma_{\text{th}}$ then
7: \quad \quad \quad Set $Q = 0$
8: \quad \quad \quad end if
9: \quad \quad Remove $R$ from $R'$
10: \quad \quad end for
11: $\rho(t) = \max(Q(R'))$
12: if $\rho(t) \leq \rho(t - 1)$ or $t \geq \lambda$ then
13: \quad Break
14: \quad else
15: \quad \quad $R' = \arg\max(Q(R'))$
16: \quad \quad Remove $R'$ from $R$
17: \quad \quad Set $t = t + 1$
18: \quad end if
19: \quad end while

### 3.2 Greedy-based Relay Selection Scheme

Here, by applying the idea of hierarchical search, a lower algorithm complexity is considered. Then, on the basis of greedy strategy, a hierarchical heuristic optimization is proposed and applied in multi-hop hybrid relay selection. As algorithm 3 shows: we initialize the selected relay link $R'$ and set $t = 0$, $\rho(0) = 0$. Then, a relay is selected from $R$ and added in $R'$. Meanwhile, obtain the value of $Q$ (24) with a relay link $R'$ and based on the distance between base station, user and each relay in $R'$, SNR of user and each relay, and $P_{\text{out}}$ among base station, user, and each relay in $R'$ to determine whether the communication is successful with $R'$ (C.2,3,4), that if it fails, set the value of $Q$ to 0. Then, remove the relay from $R'$ and repeat until all relays are traversed in $R$. 
Following, the max $Q$ is obtained with $R'$, which means that the first hop of relay selection is over and then remove $R'$ from $R$, if the maximum number of hops is not reached (C.5) or the max $Q$ is greater than the previous value. Then repeat it until the maximum number of hops is reached (C.5) or the max $Q$ is not greater than the previous value and finally, the best $R'$ can be obtained.

### 3.3 Complexity Analysis

Considering the worst case within the allowable error range, the solution space of the problem can be expressed as $S = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k^\lambda$, where $n$ is the number of relays and $\lambda$ is the number of hops. Let $O(n)$ be the time required to calculate the system performance after relay selection. Therefore, the time complexity of the exhaustive algorithm can be expressed as $O(n^{\lambda+1}) \cdot O(n)$, and the time complexity of the algorithm based on greedy strategy can be expressed as $O((\lambda-1) \cdot n) \cdot O(n)$, because SA is a random algorithm and its time complexity is related to the required result accuracy, the time complexity of SA-based algorithm can be expressed as $O(c) \cdot O(n)$, where $c$ is the maximum number of iterations set by SA algorithm. Generally, we set $n << c << n^{\lambda+1}$. Therefore, the complexity ranking of the three algorithms is: exhaustive algorithm >> SA based algorithm >> greedy-based algorithm.

### 4 Numerical Results

In this section, a communication system is investigated about the performance of different algorithms with the hybrid AF relay and RIS in Figure 1, which the maximum serviceable distance is both a circular area with radius of 20m. The AF relay and RIS are assumed both randomly and uniformly placed in the 20m*20m*10m factory, where AF relay equipped with 16 antennas, user equipped with 4 and base station equipped with 64. Besides, the SNR reception threshold at the relay and user is set to 0 dBm and 10 dBm respectively. All presented illustrations have been averaged results over 100 independent relay’s probability of outage and the channel realizations, and the confidence level we consider in this paper is 95%. All parameters are summarized in Table 1 according to [6, 16, 23].

### Table 1. Simulation and algorithmic parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outage probability constant $\varepsilon$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Amplitude at each element of RIS $\eta_i$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background noise and receive noise $N_0, N_1$</td>
<td>-3dB</td>
<td>Dissipated power at AF and RIS relay $P_{R}$</td>
<td>10dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System delay caused by AF relay $\tau_{AF}$</td>
<td>50ns</td>
<td>System delay caused by RIS $\tau_{RIS}$</td>
<td>1ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmit power at base station $P_S$</td>
<td>43dBm</td>
<td>Transmit power at AF relay $P_r$</td>
<td>30dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission data size $\delta$</td>
<td>1Kb</td>
<td>Circuit dissipated power coefficients $\xi$</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System delay weight in optimization $w$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Maximum hops of communication $\lambda$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth $W$</td>
<td>200Mb</td>
<td>The number of channel rays $L$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 2, we investigated the system performance for the SNR of transmitting signal, assuming there are 5 AF relays and 10 RISs in the scene. Therein, the number of RIS elements is 64. In Figure 2(a), as SNR increases from 5 to 35(dB), $Q$ increases first, then reduces gradually. It is evidently that when SNR is 17(dB), the maximum value is obtained. This is due to the fact that with the increase of transmission signal SNR, although the spectral efficiency of the signal also increases in Figure 2(c), the increased amplitude after passing through one or more relays is greatly diluted, resulting in the continuous reduction of the effective energy efficiency of the system. In addition, Figure 2(b) shows that the curve of energy efficiency is almost the same as $Q$ value, which proves that the delay effect caused by AF relay can be almost ignored for the current communication conditions.

Furthermore, in Figure 2(d) as the SNR increases, system delay decreases until it converges to 4.8$\mu s$, when the SNR is 24 dB. It can be explained by that when the SNR is large enough, more hops will lead to the introduction of additional delay, but it can still slightly improve the effective energy efficiency of the system, and finally make the system delay in the Figure 2(d) increase continuously under the condition of high SNR. This can be also well supported by the four hop and three hop curves in the Figure 2(d). Finally, an interesting result is shown that when the channel conditions are good, such as when the SNR is high, the performance of SA based method is close to exhaustive. When the channel conditions are poor, there is a certain gap between them. Therefore, it is necessary to measure the algorithm performance and algorithm complexity. But the greedy-based algorithm has a certain gap compared with SA-based algorithm as analyzed, especially when SNR is less than 30(dB).

Figure 3 shows the relationship between system performance and the RIS/relay density ratio with 20 total relays. Along with the increase of RIS/relay density ratio, there is a maximum value of $Q$ at 80% in Figure 3(a). It can be illustrated perfectly by that RIS is a passive relay and although it can improve the system energy efficiency, without the assistance of AF relay, RIS is difficult to ensure that the communication signal strength can reach the threshold. In addition, it is evidently in the Figure 3(b) that the energy efficiency of the network with only AF relay is about 15% less than the network with only RIS, and the energy efficiency of the AF and RIS hybrid relay network is almost the same as the network with only RIS, which the advantages of the proposed active and passive relay hybrid network is proved well. Finally, in Figure 3(c) the spectral efficiency reaches maximum when the RIS/relay density ratio is 90%, and most of the results of four-hops and five-hops are higher than those of three-hops, which shows that the increase of hop number can not only enhance the coverage area of communication, but also improve a certain spectral efficiency.
(a) $Q$ versus SNR of transmitting signal

(b) Energy efficiency versus SNR of transmitting signal

(c) Spectral efficiency versus SNR of transmitting signal

(d) System delay versus SNR of transmitting signal

Figure 2. System performance versus SNR of transmitting signal with 15 relays including 5 AF relays and 10 RISs, where the number of elements of RIS is 64 and the number of antennas of AF relay is 16. The dotted line in the figure represents the confidence interval of the corresponding data.

(a) $Q$ versus RIS/relay density ratio (%)

(b) Energy efficiency versus RIS/relay density ratio (%)

(c) Spectral efficiency versus RIS/relay density ratio (%)

(d) System delay versus RIS/relay density ratio (%)

Figure 3. System performance versus RIS/relay density ratio (%) with 20 relays, where the number of elements of RIS is 64, the number of antennas of AF relay is 16 and SNR of transmitting signal is 22dB. The dotted line in the figure represents the confidence interval of the corresponding data.
When the RIS/relay density ratio is small, the spectral efficiency with greedy-based scheme is about 85% of exhaustion. However, when the RIS/relay density ratio increases to more than 70%, the gap expands rapidly, which can be explained by that the solution space becomes sparse and it pays less attention to long-term benefits. Besides, Figure 3(d) shows that the lowest system delay is reached at 0% ratio and the value with full of RIS is about 118% of that without RIS, which illustrates that the network full of RIS cannot improve the system delay. The last but not least, more attention is paid to the delay introduced by the relay in the paper and the optimization goal is to give the relay the effective energy efficiency with large weight. Therefore, the joint optimization results with low delay and high energy efficiency are produced, and the numerical results also show the effectiveness of the proposed model.

Figure 4 displays system performance with respect to the number of RIS elements with 5 AF relays and 10 RISs. As it is depicted in Figure 4(a) that along with the number of RIS elements increased from 16 to 256, the corresponding value of $Q$ decreased by about 62% due to the dissipated power in each element, but it is still higher than the result without RIS. Moreover, we can find that the energy efficiency of the system with RISs in the network is higher than that without RISs when the number of RIS elements is less than 128 in Figure 4(b).

However, with the increase of the number of RIS components, the gap is shrinking, and it is surpassed when the number of RIS components is 128. It perfectly illustrates that with the increase of the number of components, RIS not only provides huge gain, but also reduces the energy efficiency due to the dissipated power in each element. In addition, Figure 4(c) depicts that with the increase of the number of components, the spectral efficiency with RIS increases rapidly, and gradually approaches the result without RIS. This result shows that increasing the number of elements can indeed significantly improve the signal gain of RIS, but considering the energy loss generated by each element, we need to choose the spectral efficiency and energy efficiency according to different needs.

Finally, in Figure 4(d) along with the increasing number of RIS components, the system delay decreases gradually, which is close to the result without RIS. It is obvious that the system delay of 10RISs is lower than that of 15RISs, which further reflects the advantages of hybrid relay system. The last but not least, with the increase of the number of RIS elements, the cost up at the same time. Therefore, it is a challenge to obtain an optimal number of RIS components for the large-scale application of RIS in the future.

Figure 4, System performance versus the number of RIS elements with 15 relays including 0,10,15 RISs separately, where the number of antennas of AF relay is 16 and the SNR of transmitting signal is 22dB. The dotted line in the figure represents the confidence interval of the corresponding data.

**5 Conclusion**

The performance of multi-hop hybrid active–and-passive relay selection in mmWave communication is investigated in this paper, and based on SA algorithm, an effective energy efficiency model is proposed to consider the joint optimization of delay and energy efficiency. Moreover, a hierarchical heuristic optimization algorithm with lower complexity is proposed. In addition, the influence of RIS/AF relay density ratio, SNR of the transmitted signal, and the number of RIS elements are also evaluated.

Numerical results show that the provided SA-based algorithm performs almost as well as the exhaustion in good communication condition, which owns the lower complexity, and a hierarchical heuristic optimization algorithm with lower complexity is investigated and proved to be feasible comparing with other algorithms. Meanwhile, it is shown that
the proposed effective energy efficiency reflects the balance between system energy efficiency and system delay very well. Moreover, it is obvious that the number of RIS elements have a significant positive correlation with the spectral efficiency, and there is an optimal value for the proportion of RIS/AF relays and the SNR of the transmitted signal. Therefore, it is necessary to determine an optimal active and passive relay network deployment in the future. Finally, deploying passive relays to an active relay network for signal transmission can greatly improve the energy efficiency of the system and reduce deployment costs and transmission delays at the expense of a small amount of system capacity and a more complex relay system. Then, a novel hybrid relay network in mmWave communication is unveiled in this paper, which provides a promising alternative architecture for mmWave even terahertz communication in the future. Last but not least, the impact of hops is not well reflected due to the limitation of scene size. Hence, a more complex models and scenarios with multiple users and consideration of interference between relays and users will be considered in the future work.
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