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#### Abstract

Reliability evaluation of interconnection networks are important to the design and maintenance of multiprocessor systems. The component connectivity is an important measure for the reliability of interconnection networks.

The bubble-sort network $B_{n}$ is a popular underlying topology for distributed systems. The $t$-component (edge) connectivity $\kappa^{t}(G)\left(\lambda^{t}(G)\right)$ of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is the minimum vertex (edge) number of a set $F \subset V$ such that $G-F$ is not connected and $G-F$ has at least $t$ components. In this paper, we determine the $\kappa^{t}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and $\lambda^{t}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for small $t$.
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## 1 Introduction

Interconnection networks are the underlying topologies for many large-scale multi- processor systems. A multiprocessor system may contain hundreds or even thousands of nodes, some of which may be faulty when the system is implemented. As the number of processors in a system increases, the possibility that its processors may become faulty also increases. Because designing such systems without defects is nearly impossible, reliability and fault tolerance are two of the most critical concerns of multiprocessor systems.

A graph $G=(V, E)$ with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges consists of the vertex set $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $E=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$, where each edge consists of two vertices called, endpoints. An element in $V$ is called a vertex of $G$. An element of $E$ is called an edge of $G$. We may also use a node for a vertex and a link for an edge in this paper. A graph $G=(V, E)$ can represent the interconnection network, where every node in $V$ denotes a processor, and every edge in $E$ denotes a communication link. Failures of processors and links are inevitable in a large-scale multiprocessor system. The connectivity of graphs can measure the fault tolerance of networks. The possibility of failure with all neighbors of a vertex or all edges incident to a vertex is very small. Hence there are many kinds of
connectivities to assess the fault tolerance of interconnection networks. Fault tolerance of interconnection networks becomes an essential problem and has been widely studied, such as, [1] hamiltonian path of Bubble-sort graphs with edge faults, [6, 8] distance connectivity of graphs, [10] pessimistic diagnosability of Cayley graphs generated by transpositions, [12] extra connectivity of bubblesort star graphs, [15] g-extra conditional diagnosability of hierarchical cubic networks, [20] conditional connectivity of Cayley graphs generated by unicyclic graphs.
The classic parameter is the edge connectivity $\lambda(G)$ and connectivity $\kappa(G)$. In general, the larger $\lambda(G)$ or $\kappa(G)$ is, the more stable the network is. Notice that $\kappa(G) \leq \lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$. In general, the larger $\lambda(G)$ or $\kappa(G)$ is, the more stable the network is. Notice that $\kappa(G) \leq$ If every minimum vertex cut $F=N(u)$ or minimum edge cut $F=E(u)$ for some vertex $u$, then graph $G$ is super- $\kappa$ or super- $\lambda$. If $G$ is super- $\kappa$ or super- $\lambda$, then we obtain that $\kappa(G)=\delta(G)$ or $\lambda(G)=\delta(G)$. If the graph $G-S$ is not connected and each component of $G-S$ has more than one vertex, then the edge set or vertex set $S \subset E$ is said to be a restricted edge cut or a restricted cut. The restricted edge connectivity $\lambda^{\prime}(G)$ or restricted connectivity $\kappa^{\prime}(G)$ of $G$ is the edge number of a minimum restricted edge cut or the vertex number of a minimum restricted cut of $G$. Let $T$ be a vertex set of $G$. If $G-T$ has at least $t$ components, then $T$ is a $t$ component cut of $G$. The $t$-component connectivity $\kappa^{t}(G)$ of $G$ is the vertex number of a smallest $t$ component cut. We can obtain the $t$-component edge connectivity $\lambda^{t}(G)$ similarly. The $t$-component (edge) connectivity was introduced in [3] and [16] independently. It can be seen that $\kappa^{t+1}(G) \geq \kappa^{t}(G)$ and $\lambda^{t+1}(G) \geq \lambda^{t}(G)$ for each integer $t \geq 1$. By the definition, we have that $\kappa(G)=\kappa^{2}(G)$ and $\lambda(G)=\lambda^{2}(G)$. For more references about component connectivity, we can see [4] locally twisted cubes, [5] twisted cubes, [7] BC networks, [9] regular networks, [11] alternating group graphs and split-star networks, [13, 21] hypercubes, and so on.
Definition 1. The vertex set $V$ of bubble-sort network $B_{n}, V\left(B_{n}\right)=\left\{x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}: x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}\right.$ is a permutation on $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}\}$. Two distinct vertices $y=y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{n}$ and $x$

[^0]$=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$ in $B_{n}$ have an edge if and only if $y$ is got by
and $y_{i}=x_{i+1}$. We can see Figure 1. swapping the two elements $x_{i}$ and $x_{i+1}$ of $x$, i.e., $y_{i+1}=x_{i}$


Figure 1. Illustration of the bubble-sort graphs $B_{2}, B_{3}, B_{4}$

The bubble-sort network has $n!$ vertices and is $n-1$ regular, bipartite, vertex transitive. It can be defined recursively. The bubble-sort graphs, which belong to the class of Cayley graphs, have been attractive alternative to the hypercubes. They have some good topological properties such as highly symmetry and recursive structure. The bubble-sort network $B_{n}$ can be partitioned into $n$ subgraphs $B_{n}(i)$, where $B_{n}(i)$ is induced by the vertex set $\left\{x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}: x_{n}=i\right\}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and $B_{n}(i)$ is isomorphic to $B_{n-1}$. And the number of edges between $B_{n}(i)$ and $B_{n}(j)$ is ( $\left.n-2\right)$ !. Many authors study the properties of bubble-sort graphs, for example, [14] generalized 3-connectivity, [17] faulty nodes, [18]
decycling number, [19] connectivity and super connectivity.

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph. We use $N(v)$ to denote the neighborhood of a vertex $v$ and $E(v)$ to denote the edges incident to $v$. Suppose $T \subset V, G[T]$ is the subgraph induced by $T, N_{G}(T)=\cup_{v \in T} N(v)-T$, $N_{G}[T]=N_{G}(T) \cup T$, and $G-T$ represents the subgraph of $G$ induced by the set of $V-T$. For $X$, $Y \subset V,[X, Y]$ represents the edge set of $G$ in which one end is in $X$ and the other is in $Y$. For all the notations and symbols, we can see Table 1.

Table 1. Notations and symbols

| G | a graph |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}$ (G) | vertex set of a graph |
| E, E(G) | edge set of a graph |
| $\lambda(\mathrm{G}), \kappa(\mathrm{G})$ | connectivity of a graph |
| $\lambda^{\prime}(\mathrm{G}), \kappa^{\prime}(\mathrm{G})$ | restricted connectivity of a graph |
| $\lambda^{t}(\mathrm{G}), \kappa^{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{G})$ | component connectivity of a graph |
| $\delta(\mathrm{G})$ | minimum degree of a graph |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | the bubble-sort network |
| N (v) | the neighborhood of a vertex v |
| E(v) | the edges incident to V |
| $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{T}]$ | the subgraph induced by T |
| $\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{T}$ | the subgraph of G induced by the set of V-T |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{T})$ | $\cup_{v \in T} N(v)-T$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G}}[\mathrm{T}]$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{T}) \cup \mathrm{T}$ |
| [ $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}]$ | the edge set of G in which one end is in X and the other is in Y |

Throughout this paper, we only consider undirected simple connected graphs. We follow Bondy and Murty [2] for terminology and definitions.

Our aim in this paper is to determine the $\kappa^{t}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and $\lambda^{t}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for small $t$. In the last, we give a conclusion.

## 2 Component Connectivity of Bubble-sort Networks

In [19], the authors determined the connectivity and restricted connectivity of bubble-sort networks.

Lemma 2.1. [19] $\kappa\left(B_{n}\right)=\lambda\left(B_{n}\right)=n-1(n \geq 2)$.
Lemma 2.2. [19] $\kappa^{\prime}\left(B_{n}\right)=\lambda^{\prime}\left(B_{n}\right)=2 n-4(n \geq 3)$.
From Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, we can derive Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 easily.

Proposition 2.3. $B_{n}$ is super- $\lambda$ and super- $\kappa(n \geq 4)$.
Theorem 2.4. $\lambda^{2,}\left(B_{n}\right)=\mathrm{n}-1(n \geq 2)$.
We will obtain the component connectivity of $B_{n}$.
Theorem 2.5. $\lambda^{3}\left(B_{n}\right)=2 n-3(n \geq 3)$.
Proof. We will prove it by induction. if $n=3$, then it is true. We assume that $n \geq 4$ and the result holds when $n$ $\leq k-1$. We will prove it for $n=k$.

Take an edge $e=x y$ and $F=E(x) \cup E(y)$. Then $|F|$ $=2 n-3$ and $B_{n}-F$ has at least three components. Hence $\lambda^{3}\left(B_{n}\right) \leq 2 n-3$. It suffices to show $\lambda^{3}\left(B_{n}\right) \geq 2 n$ -3 .

Suppose that there is an edge set $F$ with $|F| \leq 2 n-$ 4 , and $B_{n}-F$ has at least three components. Since $B_{n}$ can be decomposed to $n$ subgraphs $B_{n}(i)(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, we set $F_{i}=E\left(B_{n}(i)\right) \cap F(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\left|F_{1}\right| \geq\left|F_{2}\right| \geq \ldots \geq\left|F_{n}\right|$. Note that $\lambda\left(B_{n-1}\right)=n-2$.
Case 1. $\left|F_{1}\right| \leq n-3$.
Each $B_{n}(i)-F$ is connected $(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. And the number of edges between $B_{n}(i)$ and $B_{n}(j)$ is $(n-2)!>$ $2 n-4(n \geq 6)$. Then $B_{n}-F$ is connected, a contradiction.

If $n=4$, then $(n-2)!=2$ and $2 n-4=4$. There are at most two $\left[B_{n}(i), B_{n}(j)\right]$ 's which are contained in $F$. Furthermore, $B_{n}-F$ has at most two components, a contradiction.

If $n=5$ and there are $i, j$ such that $\left|\left[B_{n}(i), B_{n}(j)\right]\right|=(n$ $-2)!=2 n-4$, then $F=\left[B_{n}(i), B_{n}(j)\right]$. Hence $B_{n}-F$ is also connected, a contradiction.
Case 2. $\mathrm{n}-2 \leq\left|\mathrm{F}_{1}\right| \leq 2 \mathrm{n}-6$.
If each $B_{n}(i)-F$ is connected $(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, then $B_{n}-F$ is connected. Hence there is some $i$ such that $B_{n}(i)-F$ is not connected. And there are at most two subgraphs $B_{n}(i)$ and $B_{n}(j)$ which are not connected in $B_{n}$ $-F$. From the inductive hypothesis, $B_{n}(i)-F$ and $B_{n}(j)$ - $F$ have at most two components.

Subcase 2.1. Only one $B_{n}(i)-F_{i}$ is not connected.
Without loss of generality, we assume that $i=1$. By the inductive hypothesis, $B_{n}(1)-F_{1}$ has two components.

Since $(n-2)!\geq(2 n-4)-(n-2)(n \geq 4)$, there are at most two subgraphs $B_{n}(i)$ and $B_{n}(j)$ such that $\left[B_{n}(i)\right.$, $\left.B_{n}(j)\right] \subseteq F$. Thus the induced graph by $\cup_{i=2}^{n} B_{n}(i)$ is connected in $B_{n}-F$.

Furthermore, $\left|\left[B_{n}(1), B_{n}-B_{n}(1)\right]\right|=(n-1)!>2 n-$ $4-(n-2)(n \geq 4)$. At least one component of $B_{n}(1)-$ $F$ is connected to $\cup_{i=2}^{n} B_{n}(i)$. Hence, $B_{n}-F$ has at most two components, a contradiction.
Subcase 2.2. $B_{n}(1)-F_{1}$ and $B_{n}(2)-F_{2}$ are not connected in $B_{n}-F$.

It follows that $\left|E\left(B_{n}(1) \cap F\right)\right|=n-2$ and $\mid E\left(B_{n}(2)\right.$ $\cap F) \mid=n-2 . B_{n}(i)(i=1,2)$ is super- $\lambda$ by Proposition 2.3. Notice that $|F| \leq 2 n-4$. It is similar to Subcase
2.1, we can obtain $B_{n}-F$ is connected, a contradiction. We can see Figure 2.


Figure 2. Illustration of subcase 2.2
Case 3. $2 \mathrm{n}-5 \leq\left|\mathrm{F}_{1}\right| \leq 2 \mathrm{n}-4$.
Then $B_{n}(i)-F$ is connected for $i=2,3, \ldots, n$. Furthermore, $\cup_{i=2}^{n} B_{n}(i)-F$ is connected in $B_{n}-F$. Note that at most one vertex of $B_{n}(1)$ has no neighbors in $B_{n}-B_{n}(1)$. Hence, $B_{n}-F$ has at most two components, a contradiction.

Hence $\lambda^{3}\left(B_{n}\right) \geq 2 n-3$.
Theorem 2.6. $\lambda^{4}\left(B_{n}\right)=3 n-5(n \geq 3)$.
Proof. We prove the theorem by induction. It is true if $n=3$. We assume that $n \geq 4$ and the result holds when $n \leq k-1$. We will prove it for $n=k$.

Take a path $P=x y z$ and $F=E(x) \cup E(y) \cup E(z)$. Then $|F|=3 n-5$ and $B_{n}-F$ has at least four components. Hence $\lambda^{4}\left(B_{n}\right) \leq 3 n-5$. It suffices to show $\lambda^{4}\left(B_{n}\right) \geq 3 n$ $-5$.

Suppose that there is an edge set $F$ with $|F| \leq 3 n-$ 6 , and $B_{n}-F$ has at least four components. Since $B_{n}$ can be decomposed to $n$ subgraphs $B_{n}(i)(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, we set $F_{i}=E\left(B_{n}(i)\right) \cap F(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\left|F_{1}\right| \geq\left|F_{2}\right| \geq \ldots \geq\left|F_{n}\right|$.
Case 1. $\left|F_{1}\right| \leq n-3$.
Note that $\lambda\left(B_{n-1}\right)=n-2$. Each $B_{n}(i)-F$ is connected $(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. And the number of edges between $B_{n}(i)$ and $B_{n}(j)$ is $(n-2)!>3 n-6(n \geq 7)$. Then $B_{n}-F$ is connected, a contradiction.

If $n=4$, then there exist at least one $\left[B_{n}(i), B_{n}(j)\right] \nsubseteq$ $F$. Hence $B_{n}-F$ has at most three components, a contradiction.

If $n=5$, then $(n-2)!=6$ and at most one $\left[B_{n}(i)\right.$, $\left.B_{n}(j)\right]$ is contained in $F$. (If there is not $\left[B_{n}(i)\right.$, $\left.B_{n}(j)\right] \subseteq F$, then $B_{n}-F$ is connected, a contradiction.) But $\left|\left[B_{n}(p), B_{n}(q)\right]\right|=(n-2)!>9-6$ for $p \neq i, j$ or $q$ $\neq i, j$. Since each $B_{n}(i)-F$ is connected $(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, $B_{n}-F$ is connected, a contradiction.

If $n=6$, then $(n-2)!=12=3 n-6$ and there are $i, j$ such that $\left[B_{n}(i), B_{n}(j)\right]=F$. Hence $B_{n}-F$ is also connected, a contradiction.
Case 2. $\mathrm{n}-2 \leq\left|\mathrm{F}_{1}\right| \leq 3 \mathrm{n}-8$.
If each $B_{n}(i)-F$ is connected $(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, and $\left|\left[B_{n}(p), B_{n}(q)\right]\right|=(n-2)!>3 n-6-(n-2)(n \geq 6)$, then $B_{n}-F$ is connected, a contradiction. We assume $n$ $=5$. And there are some $i, j$ such that $\left|\left[B_{n}(i), B_{n}(j)\right]\right|=$ $(n-2)!>3 n-6-(n-2)=6$. Hence $F=F_{1} \cup\left[B_{n}(i)\right.$,
$\left.B_{n}(j)\right]$ and $B_{n}-F$ is also connected, a contradiction.
We suppose there are some $i$ 's such that $B_{n}(i)-F$ is not connected. But $\lambda\left(B_{n-1}\right)=n-2$, at most three subgraphs $B_{n}(i)$ 's are not connected in $B_{n}-F$. From the inductive hypothesis, $B_{n}(i)-F$ has at most three components.
Subcase 2.1. Only one $B_{n}(i)-F_{i}$ is not connected in $B_{n}$ $-F$.

First, assume $i=1$. It is similar to Case $1, \cup_{i=2}^{n} B_{n}(i)$ is connected in $B_{n}-F$. Since $\left|\left[B_{n}(1), B_{n}-B_{n}(1)\right]\right|=(n$ $-1)!>3 n-6-(n-2)(n \geq 4)$. Hence, $B_{n}-F$ has at most three components, a contradiction.

Suppose that $i=2$. Then $\left|F_{1}\right| \geq\left|F_{2}\right| \geq n-2(i=1,2)$ and $\cup_{j=3}^{n} B_{n}(j) \cup B_{n}(1)$ is connected in $B_{n}-F$. Because $B_{n}(j) \cup B_{n}(1)$ is $\left|\left[B_{n}(2), B_{n}-B_{n}(2)\right]\right|=(n-1)!>$ $3 n-6-(2 n-4)(n \geq 4)$. Hence, $B_{n}-F$ has at most three components, a contradiction.

If $i \leq 3$, then $\left|F_{1}\right| \geq\left|F_{2}\right| \geq\left|F_{i}\right| \geq n-2$. It follows that $\left|F_{1}\right|=\left|F_{2}\right|=\left|F_{i}\right|=n-2$ and $F=F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup F_{i}$. It is similar the above discussion, $B_{n}-F$ has at most three components, a contradiction.
Subcase 2.2. There are only two $B_{n}(i)-F_{i}$ and $B_{n}(j)-$ $F_{j}$ that are not connected in $B_{n}-F$. And $i, j \leq 3$.
Subcase 2.2.1. Assume $i=1, j=2$.
Furthermore, we can obtain that $\bigcup_{j=3}^{n} B_{n}(i)-F$ is connected in $B_{n}-F$. And $\left|F_{1}\right| \leq 3 n-6-(n-2)=2 n$ -4 .

If $B_{n}(1)-F$ has three components, then $\left|F_{1}\right| \geq 2 n-$ 5 according to Theorem 2.5. But $\left|F_{2}\right| \geq n-2$ and $|F| \leq$ $3 n-6$, at most one components of $B_{n}(i)-F(i=1,2)$ is not connected to $\underset{j=3}{\cup^{n}} B_{n}(i)-F$. Then $B_{n}-F$ has at most two components, a contradiction. We can see Figure 3 and the dotted line represents that the component may be connected to another component.


Figure 3. Illustration of $B_{n}(1)-F$ has three components
Hence we assume that $B_{n}(i)-F(i=1,2)$ has only two components. Note that $\left|\left[B_{n}(i), B_{n}(j)\right]\right|=(n-2)$ ! $>$ $3 n-6-(2 n-4)(n \geq 4)$. At least one components of $B_{n}(i)-F(i=1,2)$ is connected to $\cup_{i=3}^{n} B_{n}(i)-F$. Then $B_{n}-F$ has at most three components, a contradiction. We can see Figure 4 and the dotted lines represent that the component may be connected to another component.


Figure 4. Illustration of $B_{n}(\mathrm{i})-F(i=1,2)$ has only two components

Subcase 2.2.2. Assume $i=1, j=3$.
And $\left|F_{1}\right| \geq\left|F_{2}\right| \geq\left|F_{3}\right| \geq n-2$. It follows that $F=F_{1}$ $\cup F_{2} \cup F_{3}$ and $\left|F_{1}\right|=\left|F_{2}\right|=\left|F_{3}\right|=n-2$. By Proposition 2.3, $B_{n}(i)-F(i=1,3)$ is super- $\lambda$. Since $\bigcup_{i=3}^{n} B_{n}(i)-F$ is connected, $B_{n}-F$ is connected, a contradiction.
Subcase 2.2.3. $i=2, j=3$. It is similar to subcase 2.2.2. Case 3. $3 n-7 \leq\left|F_{1}\right| \leq 3 n-6$.

Then $B_{n}(i)-F$ is connected for $i=2,3, \ldots, n$. Furthermore, $\cup_{i=2}^{n} B_{n}(i)-F$ is connected in $B_{n}-F$.

Note that at most one vertex of $B_{n}(1)$ has no neighbors in
$B_{n}-B_{n}(1)$. Hence, $B_{n}-F$ has at most two components, a contradiction. We can see Figure 5 and the dotted line represents that $x$ may be connected to another component.


Figure 5. Illustration of case 3
Hence $\lambda^{4}\left(B_{n}\right) \geq 3 n-5$.
We can easily obtain $\kappa^{3}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for $n=3$.
Theorem 2.7. $\kappa^{3}\left(B_{3}\right)=3$.
Theorem 2.8. $\kappa^{3}\left(B_{n}\right)=2 n-4(n \geq 4)$.
Proof. By induction. It is true if $n=4$. We assume that $n \geq 5$ and the result holds when $n \leq k-1$. We will prove it for $n=k$.

Take a cycle $C_{4}=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ and $F=N\left(\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}\right\}\right)$. Then $|F|=2 n-4$ and $B_{n}-F$ has at least three components. Hence $\kappa^{3}\left(B_{n}\right) \leq 2 n-4$. It suffices to show $\kappa^{3}\left(B_{n}\right) \geq 2 n$ - 4. Suppose that there is a vertex set $F$ with $|F| \leq 2 n$ -5 , and $B_{n}-F$ has at least three components. Since $B_{n}$ can be decomposed to $n$ subgraphs $B_{n}(i)(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, we set $S_{1}=\left\{B_{n}(i):\left|V\left(B_{n}(i)\right) \cap F\right| \geq n-2\right\}$ and $S_{2}=$ $\left\{B_{n}(i):\left|V\left(B_{n}(i)\right) \cap F\right| \leq n-3\right\}$. Because $2(n-2)>$ $2 n-5 \geq|F|$, we can obtain $\left|S_{1}\right| \leq 1$ and $S_{2} \neq \phi$. We
obtain $S_{2}-F$ is connected since $\kappa\left(B_{n-1}\right)=n-2$. If $S_{1}=\phi$, then $B_{n}-F$ is connected, a contradiction.

Hence $S_{1} \neq \phi$. Assume $S_{1}=\left\{B_{n}(1)\right\}$. If $B_{n}(1)-F$ is connected, then $B_{n}-F$ has at most two components, a contradiction.

Suppose $B_{n}(1)-F$ is not connected. Because $\kappa\left(B_{n}(1)\right)$ $=n-2$ and $\left|V\left(B_{n}(1)\right) \cap F\right| \geq n-2$. If $B_{n}(1)-F$ has at least three components, then $\left|V\left(B_{n}(1)\right) \cap F\right| \geq 2 n-6$ from the inductive hypothesis. Since $|F| \leq 2 n-5$, at most one vertex of $B_{n}(1)$ has no neighbors in $S_{2}-F$. Hence $B_{n}-F$ has at most two components, a contradiction. We can see Figure 5.

Suppose that $B_{n}(1)-F$ has only two components. The neighbors of $B_{n}(1)$ in $S_{2}$ is $(n-1)!>2 n-5(n \geq 5)$. Then at least one component of $B_{n}(1)-F$ is connected to $S_{2}-F$. Furthermore, $B_{n}-F$ has at most two components, a contradiction. We can see Figure 6 and the dotted line represents that the component may be connected to another component.


Figure 6. Illustration of $B_{n}(1)-F$ has only two components

Theorem 2.9. $\kappa^{4}\left(B_{n}\right)=3 n-6(n \geq 4)$.
Proof. By induction. It is true if $n=4$. We assume that $n \geq 5$ and the result holds when $n \leq k-1$. We will prove it for $n=k$.

Take a cycle $C_{6}=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{6}$ and $A=\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right\}$. Then $|N(A)|=3 n-6$ and $B_{n}-N(A)$ has at least four components. Hence $\kappa^{4}\left(B_{n}\right) \leq 3 n-6$. It suffices to show $\kappa^{4}\left(B_{n}\right) \geq 3 n-6$.

Suppose that there is a vertex set $F$ with $|F| \leq 3 n-$ 7 , and $B_{n}-F$ has at least four components. Since $B_{n}$ can be decomposed to $n$ subgraphs $B_{n}(i)(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, we set $S_{1}=\left\{B_{n}(i):\left|V\left(B_{n}(i)\right) \cap F\right| \geq\lfloor(3 n-7) / 2\rfloor+1\right\}$ and $S_{2}=\left\{B_{n}(i):\left|V\left(B_{n}(i)\right) \cap F\right| \leq\lfloor(3 n-7) / 2\rfloor\right\}$. Because $2(\lfloor(3 n-7) / 2\rfloor+1)>3 n-7 \geq|F|$, we can obtain $\left|S_{1}\right| \leq 1$ and $S_{2} \neq \phi$.
Case 1. $S_{1}=\phi$.
Because of $\kappa\left(B_{n-1}\right)=n-2$, there are at most two subgraphs $B_{n}(i)$ and $B_{n}(j)$ which are not connected in $S_{2}$ $-F$. Without loss of generality, assume $i=1, j=2$.
Subcase 1.1. There is only one $B_{n}(1)$ that is not connected in $S_{2}-F$.

It follows that $\left|V\left(B_{n}(1)\right) \cap F\right| \geq n-2$. From the inductive hypothesis, $\kappa^{4}\left(B_{n}(1)\right)=3 n-9>$ $\lfloor(3 n-7) / 2\rfloor(n \geq 5)$. Hence $B_{n}(1)-F$ has at most three components. Note that each vertex of $B_{n}(t)$ has
only one neighbor in $B_{n}-B_{n}(t)$. And the number of edges between $B_{n}(t)$ and $B_{n}(s)$ is $(n-2)!>2 n-5(n \geq$ 5). Then $S^{*}=\cup_{i=2}^{n} B_{n}(i)$ is connected in $B_{n}-F$.

If $B_{n}(1)-F$ has three components, then $\kappa^{3}\left(B_{n}(1)\right)=$ $2 n-6$ by Theorem 2.8. And there are at most two isolated vertices in $B_{n}(1)-F$. Because $B_{n}(1)$ has $(n-$ $1)$ ! neighbors in $B_{n}-B_{n}(1)$ and $(n-1)$ ! $>3 n-7(n \geq$ 5), at least one component of $B_{n}(1)-F$ is connected to $S^{*}$. Furthermore, $B_{n}-F$ has at most three components, a contradiction. We can see Figure 7 and the dotted lines represent that the component may be connected to another component.


Figure 7. Illustration of $B_{n}(1)-F$ has three components
If $B_{n}(1)-F$ has only two components, then it is obviously a contradiction.
Subcase 1.2. There are only two subgraphs $B_{n}(1)$ and $B_{n}(2)$ that are not connected in $S_{2}-F$.

It is similar to Subcase $1.1, S^{*}=\bigcup_{i=3}^{n} B_{n}(i)$ is connected in $B_{n}-F$. We obtain $\left|V\left(B_{n}(1)\right) \cap F\right| \geq n-$ 2 and $\left|V\left(B_{n}(2)\right) \cap F\right| \geq n-2$. From the inductive hypothesis, $B_{n}(1)-F$ and $B_{n}(2)-F$ do not have more than three components, respectively. Because of the definition of $S_{2}, B_{n}(1)-F$ and $B_{n}(2)-F$ have only two components, respectively. Since the number of neighbors of $B_{n}(i)$ in $S^{*}$ is $(n-1)!-(n-2)!>3 n-$ $7(n \geq 5)$ for $i=1,2$. Then at least one component of $B_{n}(i)-F$ is connected to $S^{*}$ for $i=1,2$. It follows that $B_{n}-F$ has at most three components, a contradiction. We can see Figure 4.
Case 2. $S_{1} \neq \phi$. Assume $S_{1}=\left\{B_{n}(1)\right\}$.
Because $\left|V\left(B_{n}(1)\right) \cap F\right| \geq\lfloor(3 n-7) / 2\rfloor+1$ and $|F|$ $\leq 3 n-7$, at most one subgraph of $S_{2}$ is not connected.
Subcase 2.1. Each subgraph of $S_{2}$ is connected.
It is similar to Subcase $1.1, S^{*}=\bigcup_{i=2}^{n} B_{n}(i)$ is connected in $B_{n}-F$.

If $B_{n}(1)-F$ has at least four components, then by induction, $\kappa^{4}\left(B_{n}(1)\right)=3 n-9$. Because each vertex of $B_{n}(1)$ has only one neighbor in $S^{*}$ and $|F| \leq 3 n-7$, at most two vertices of $B_{n}(1)$ are not connected to $S^{*}$. It follows that $B_{n}-F$ has at most three components, a contradiction.

If $B_{n}(1)-F$ has three components, then $\kappa^{3}\left(B_{n}(1)\right)=$ $2 n-6$. The number of neighbors of $B_{n}(i)$ in $S^{*}$ is $(n-$ $1)!>3 n-7(n \geq 5)$. Then at least one component of
$B_{n}(1)-F$ is connected to $S^{*}$. It follows that $B_{n}-F$ has at most three components, a contradiction. We can see Figure 7.

If $B_{n}(1)-F$ has only two components, then obviously, it is a contradiction.
Subcase 2.2. Only one subgraph of $S_{2}$ is not connected. Suppose $B_{n}(2)$ is not connected.

Note that $S^{*}=\bigcup_{i=3}^{n} B_{n}(i)$ is connected. If $B_{n}(2)-F$ has at least three components, then $\left|V\left(B_{n}(2)\right) \cap F\right|+$ $\left|V\left(B_{n}(1)\right) \cap F\right|>3 n-7$ by Theorem 2.8 and $\mid V\left(B_{n}(1)\right)$ $\cap F \mid \geq\lfloor(3 n-7) / 2\rfloor+1(n \geq 5)$, a contradiction. Hence $B_{n}(2)-F$ has only two components and $\mid V\left(B_{n}(2)\right) \cap F$ $\mid \geq n-2$.

If $B_{n}(1)-F$ has at least four components, then by induction, $\left|V\left(B_{n}(1)\right) \cap F\right| \geq \kappa^{4}\left(B_{n}(1)\right)=3 n-9$. But $n$ $-2+3 n-9>3 n-7(n \geq 5)$, a contradiction.

If $B_{n}(1)-F$ has three components, then $\mid V\left(B_{n}(1)\right) \cap$ $F \mid \geq \kappa^{3}\left(B_{n}(1)\right)=2 n-6$. Note that $\left|V\left(B_{n}(2)\right) \cap F\right| \geq n$ $-2,|F| \leq 3 n-7$ and $S^{*}$ is connected. After deleting at most one vertex, at least one component of $B_{n}(2)-F$ is connected to $S^{*}$ and at least two components of $B_{n}(1)-$ $F$ are connected to $S^{*}$. Hence $B_{n}-F$ has at most two components, a contradiction. We can see Figure 8 and the dotted line represents that the component may be connected to another component.


Figure 8. Illustration of $B_{n}(1)-F$ has three components
If $B_{n}(1)-F$ has only two components, then $\mid V$ $\left(B_{n}(1)\right) \cap F \mid \geq n-2$. Note that $\left|V\left(B_{n}(2)\right) \cap F\right| \geq n-$ 2. Since the number of neighbors of $B_{n}(i)$ in $S^{*}$ is $(n-$ $1)!-(n-2)!>3 n-7(n \geq 5)$ for $i=1$, 2 . Then at least one component of $B_{n}(i)-F$ is connected to $S^{*}$ for $i=1$, 2. It follows that $B_{n}-F$ has at most three components, a contradiction. We can see Figure 9 and the dotted lines represent that the component may be connected to another component.

If $B_{n}(1)-F$ is connected, then obviously, it is a contradiction.


Figure 9. Illustration of $B_{n}(1)-F$ has two components

## 3 Conclusions

The component connectivity is a measurement of the reliability and fault-tolerant ability of networks. We determine the small component connectivity of bubblesort networks. We will study the larger component connectivity of bubble-sort networks in the future work.
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